

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES PARTMENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 2546 Q65536 07/23/2001 Kazuhiro Kusuda 09/910,070

10/07/2004

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20037

EXAMINER

DOLINAR, ANDREW M

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT 3747

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						$/\setminus$
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	V	
		09/910,07	0	KUSUDA, KAZUHIRO ^J		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Andrew M.		3747		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the d	orrespondence ac	idress	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per time to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office l	N. R 1.136(a). In no even reply within the statu riod will apply and will atute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22. This action is FINAL . 2b) T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is no wance except f	on-final. for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is	
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 8-12</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>4,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from con				
Applicat	ion Papers		•			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September 2004</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)□ action action is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d)).
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have beer ents have beer priority document reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	te	0.452\	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/8/04</u> .		6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-10Z)	

Application/Control Number: 09/910,070

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because the reference characters used in the amended drawing sheets filed September 7, 2004 are not mentioned in the description. The amended drawing sheets are otherwise acceptable.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al in view of Miura. Walker et al discloses the claimed invention as stated above

except for playing the game with trained characters having changing abilities. Miura teaches that it is known to provide a multi-player game using trained characters having changing abilities. See column 5, line 63, to column 6, line 7, and column 9, lines 48-51. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the network game system of Walker et al to have a game played with trained characters having changing abilities, as taught by Miura, in order to provide more versatile game simulations.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3, 5 and 8-12 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive insofar as they pertain to claims 4, 6 and 7. The arguments are not commensurate with the scope of the claims. There is nothing in claims 4, 6 and 7 that requires a threshold level of capability to be used to determine whether or not a player can enter a competition

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Andrew M. Dolinar whose telephone number is (703) 308-1948. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thu. 7:45 - 6:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew M. Dolinar Primary Examiner

And M. Oal.

Art Unit 3747

AMD